Application No. Applicant(s) 10/584.303 BRINK ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary **Examiner** Art Unit JULIE HA 1654 **All Participants:** Status of Application: (1) *JULIE HA*. (3) _____. (4) _____. (2) JUDITH EVANS. Date of Interview: 15 February 2011 Time: Type of Interview: □ Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) □ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 8, 10-11, 13-34 and 35 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner telephoned Ms. Evans to discuss Examiner's amendment to get the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner requested approval of cancellation of claims 13-34, drawn to nonelected inventions. Ms. Evans approved this amendment. The Examiner indicated that claims 8 and 10-11, drawn to nonelected species will be rejoined. The Examiner also requested approval to insert hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide gated before HCN, and put the HCN in parenthesis. Ms. Evans approved the amendment, Claims 1-12 and 35-36 are allowed. Examiner's amendment follows Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Julie Ha/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)